arXiv:1206.4085v1 [math.PR] 18 Jun 2012

The Asymptotic Distribution of Randomly Weighted Sums and Self-normalized Sums

Péter Kevei [1]
Analysis and Stochastics Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences
Bolyai Institute, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, 6720 Szeged, Hungary, and
CIMAT, Callejón Jalisco S/N, Mineral de Valenciana, Guanajuato 36240, Mexico.
e-mail: `kevei@math.u-szeged.hu`

David M. Mason [2]
University of Delaware
213 Townsend Hall, Newark, DE 19716, USA
e-mail: `davidm@udel.edu`

Abstract

We consider the self-normalized sums $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i / \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$, where $\{Y_i : i \geq 1\}$ are non-negative i.i.d. random variables, and $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. random variables, independent of $\{Y_i : i \geq 1\}$. The main result of the paper is that each subsequential limit law of T_n is continuous for any non-degenerate X_1 with finite expectation, if and only if Y_1 is in the centered Feller class.

Keywords: Self-normalized sums; Feller class; stable distributions.
AMS Subject Classificiation: MSC 60F05; MSC 60E07.

1 Introduction

Let $\{Y,\ Y_i : i \geq 1\}$ denote a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, where Y is non-negative and non-degenerate with cumulative distribution function [cdf] G. Now let $\{X,\ X_i : i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, independent of $\{Y,\ Y_i : i \geq 1\}$, where X is in the class $\mathcal{X}$ of non-degenerate random variables X satisfying $E|X| < \infty$. Consider the randomly weighted sums and self-normalized sums

$$W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i \text{ and } T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i / \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

We define $0/0 := 0$.

In statistics T_n has uses as a version of the weighted bootstrap, where typically more assumptions are imposed on X and Y. See Mason and Newton [17] for details. We shall see that T_n is an interesting random variable, which is worthy of study in its own right.

Notice that $E|X| < \infty$ implies that T_n is stochastically bounded and thus every subsequence of $\{n\}$ contains a further subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that for some random variable T, $T_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} T$.

---

[1] Research supported by the TAMOP–4.2.1/B–09/1/KONV–2010–0005 project.
[2] Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS–0503908.

Theorem 4 of Breiman [1] says that T_n converges in distribution along the full sequence $\{n\}$ for *every* $X \in \mathcal{X}$ with at least one limit law being non-degenerate if and only if

$$Y \in D\left(\beta\right), \text{ with } 0 \leq \beta < 1. \tag{1}$$

In this paper, $Y \in D\left(\beta\right)$ means that for some function L slowly varying at infinity and $\beta \geq 0$,

$$P\left\{Y > y\right\} = y^{-\beta} L(y), \; y > 0.$$

In the case $0 < \beta < 1$ this is equivalent to $Y \geq 0$ being in the domain of attraction of a positive stable law of index β. Breiman [1] has shown in his Theorem 3 that in this case T has a distribution related to the arcsine law. We give a natural extension of his result in Theorem 6 below.

At the end of his paper Breiman conjectured that T_n converges in distribution to a non-degenerate law for *some* $X \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if $Y \in D\left(\beta\right)$, with $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Mason and Zinn [18] partially verified his conjecture. They established the following:

Whenever X is non-degenerate and satisfies $E|X|^p < \infty$ for some $p > 2$, then T_n converges in distribution to a non-degenerate random variable if and only if (1) holds.

We shall not solve Breiman's full conjecture in this paper. Our interest is to investigate the asymptotic distributional behavior of the weighted sums W_n and T_n along subsequences $\{n'\}$ of $\{n\}$. An important role in our study is played by those Y that are in the *centered Feller class.* A random variable Y (not necessarily non-negative) is said to be in the *Feller class* if there exist sequences of norming and centering constants $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ such that if $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ are i.i.d. Y then for every subsequence of $\{n\}$ there exists a further subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{a_{n'}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n'} Y_i - b_{n'}\right\} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} W, \text{ as } n' \to \infty,$$

where W is a non-degenerate random variable. We shall denote this by $Y \in \mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, Y is in the *centered Feller class*, if Y is in the *Feller class* and one can choose $b_n = 0$, for all $n \geq 1$. This we shall denote as $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$. In this paper the norming sequence $\{a_n\}$ is always assumed to be strictly positive and to tend to infinity.

Our most unexpected finding is the following theorem, which connects $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$ with the continuity of all of the subsequential limit laws of T_n. It is an immediate consequence of the results that we shall establish.

Theorem 1. *All subsequential distributional limits of*

$$T_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}$$

are continuous for any X *in the class* $\mathcal{X}$*, if and only if* $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$.

Our result agrees with both Theorem 4 of [1] as cited above and Theorem 3 of [1], which implies that if $Y \in D\left(\beta\right)$, with $0 < \beta < 1$, then $T_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} T$, where T has a continuous distribution with a Lebesgue density. Note that all such Y are in the centered Feller class. It turns out that whenever $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$ every subsequential limit law of T_n has a Lebesgue density. Refer to Theorem 3 below.

Breiman [1] also studied the randomly weighted sums W_n. From his Proposition 3 it can be readily inferred that if $Y \geq 0$ and $Y \in D(\beta)$, with $0 < \beta < 1$, and X is independent of Y satisfying $E|X| < \infty$ then

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \frac{P\{XY > y\}}{1 - G(y)} = \int_0^\infty x^\beta F(\mathrm{d}x) \text{ and}$$
$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \frac{P\{XY < -y\}}{1 - G(y)} = \int_{-\infty}^0 (-x)^\beta F(\mathrm{d}x).$$

This implies that for any sequence of norming constants $a_n > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{a_n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} W(\beta), \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{2}$$

where $W(\beta)$ is a non-degenerate stable law of index β, then for the randomly weighted sums we have

$$\frac{1}{a_n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} W'(\beta), \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{3}$$

where $W'(\beta)$ is also a non-degenerate stable law of index β.

Along the way towards establishing the results needed to prove Theorem 1 we shall need to generalize this result. Our Theorem 2 implies that if along a subsequence $\{n'\}$ the normed sum $a_{n'}^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n'} Y_i$ converges in distribution then so does $a_{n'}^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n'} X_i Y_i$. It also identifies their limit laws.

Here is a brief outline of our paper. Some necessary notation is introduced in subsection 1.1, and our main results are stated in subsection 1.2, where we fill out the picture of the asymptotic distribution of the self-normalized sums T_n along subsequences under a nearly exhaustive set of regularity conditions. The proofs are detailed in section 2 and some additional information is provided in an appendix. We shall soon see that the innocuous looking sequence of stochastic variables $\{T_n\}$ displays quite a variety of subsequential distributional limit behavior.

1.1 Some necessary notation

Before we can state our results we must first fix some notation. Let $\mathrm{id}(a, b, \nu)$ denote an infinitely divisible distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with characteristic exponent

$$\mathrm{i}u'b - \frac{1}{2}u'au + \int \left(e^{\mathrm{i}u'x} - 1 - \mathrm{i}u'xI\{|x| \leq 1\}\right)\nu(\mathrm{d}x),$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is a positive semidefinite matrix and ν is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and u' stands for the transpose of u. In our case d is 1 or 2. For any $h > 0$ put

$$a^h = a + \int_{|x|\leq h} xx'\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \text{ and } b^h = b - \int_{h<|x|\leq 1} x\nu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

For $d = 1$, $\mathrm{id}(\alpha, \Lambda)$, with Lévy measure Λ on $(0, \infty)$, such that

$$\int_0^1 s\Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}s\right) < \infty \tag{4}$$

holds, and $\alpha \geq 0$, denotes a non-negative infinitely divisible distribution with characteristic exponent

$$\mathrm{i}u\alpha + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{\mathrm{i}ux} - 1\right) \Lambda(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Moreover, an infinitely divisible random variable is non-negative, if and only if the representation above holds. We will use both representations, so note that $\mathrm{id}(\alpha, \Lambda) = \mathrm{id}(0, b, \Lambda)$, if and only if $\alpha = b - \int_0^1 x\Lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$.

Let W_2 be an infinitely divisible random variable taking values in $[0, \infty)$ with characteristic exponent

$$\log Ee^{\mathrm{i}uW_2} = \mathrm{i}ub + \int \left(e^{\mathrm{i}ux} - 1 - \mathrm{i}uxI\{|x| \leq 1\}\right) \Lambda(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathrm{i}u\alpha + \int \left(e^{\mathrm{i}ux} - 1\right) \Lambda(\mathrm{d}x), \tag{5}$$

$b \in \mathbb{R}$ and Λ be the Lévy measure of W_2 concentrated on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying (4).

Set for $v > 0$,

$$\overline{\Lambda}\left(v\right) = \Lambda\left(\left(v, \infty\right)\right). \tag{6}$$

We write for $0 < v_1 \leq v_2 < \infty$

$$\int_{v_1}^{v_2} \Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}s\right) =: \int_{(v_1, v_2]} \Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}s\right) = \overline{\Lambda}\left(v_1\right) - \overline{\Lambda}\left(v_2\right) = \Lambda\left(\left(v_1, v_2\right]\right).$$

Note that $\lim_{v_2 \searrow v_1} \Lambda\left(\left(v_1, v_2\right]\right) = 0$ and thus $\overline{\Lambda}\left(v\right)$ is right continuous on $(0, \infty)$; and

$$\lim_{v_1 \nearrow v_2} \Lambda\left(\left(v_1, v_2\right]\right) = \Lambda\left(\left\{v_2\right\}\right).$$

Let F be the cdf of a random variable X satisfying $0 < E|X| < \infty$. We denote $\overline{F} = 1 - F$. For $u \geq 0$ and $v > 0$ set

$$\overline{\Pi}\left(u, v\right) = \int_v^\infty \overline{F}\left(u/s\right) \Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}s\right) =: \int_{(v, \infty)} \overline{F}\left(u/s\right) \Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}s\right) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\Pi\left(-u, v\right) = \int_{(v, \infty)} F\left(-u/s\right) \Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}s\right). \tag{8}$$

In order to define a bivariate Lévy measure we need to verify that the functions above are meaningful when $u > 0$ and $v = 0$. First we shall check that

$$\overline{\Pi}\left(u, 0\right) = \int_0^\infty \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s) < \infty,$$

which is equivalent to the finiteness of $\int_0^1 \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s)$. Since $E|X| < \infty$, we have $x[F(-x) + \overline{F}(x)] \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, and so by (4)

$$\int_0^1 \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s) = \int_0^1 s\, s^{-1}\overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s) \leq u^{-1} \sup_{x \geq 0} x\overline{F}(x) \int_0^1 s\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s) < \infty.$$

The finiteness of (8) with $u > 0$ and $v = 0$ can be shown in the same way.
Using the functions $\overline{\Pi}(u,v)$ and $\Pi(-u,v)$ we define the Lévy measure Π on $(-\infty,\infty) \times (0,\infty)$ by

$$\Pi\left((a,b] \times (c,d]\right) = \int_c^d \left(F\left(b/s\right) - F\left(a/s\right)\right) \Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}s\right) \tag{9}$$

for $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and $0 < c < d < \infty$.

1.2 Our results

In this subsection we state our results on the asymptotic distributional behavior of W_n and T_n along subsequences $\{n'\}$. Our first theorem is a generalization of the convergence in distribution fact stated in (2) and (3) above. In the following, $\{(X,Y),(X_i,Y_i), i \geq 1\}$, are i.i.d., where X and Y are independent, X has cdf F and Y has cdf G, with $0 < P\{Y > 0\} \leq P\{Y \geq 0\} = 1$.

Theorem 2. *Assume that $E|X| < \infty$. If along a subsequence $\{n'\}$ for a sequence of norming constant $a_{n'} > 0$*

$$\frac{1}{a_{n'}} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} W_2, \ \text{as } n' \to \infty, \tag{10}$$

where W_2 has $\mathrm{id}(\alpha,\Lambda) = \mathrm{id}(0,b,\Lambda)$ distribution as in (5) and necessarily

$$\alpha = b - \int_0^1 x\Lambda(\mathrm{d}x) \geq 0, \tag{11}$$

then along the same subsequence

$$\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n'} X_i Y_i}{a_{n'}}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n'} Y_i}{a_{n'}}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} (W_1, W_2), \ \text{as } n' \to \infty, \tag{12}$$

where (W_1,W_2) has $\mathrm{id}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{b},\Pi)$ distribution, with

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha EX + \int_{0<u^2+v^2\leq 1} u\Pi\left(\mathrm{d}u,\mathrm{d}v\right) \\ \alpha + \int_{0<u^2+v^2\leq 1} v\Pi\left(\mathrm{d}u,\mathrm{d}v\right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

i.e. it has characteristic function

$$\begin{aligned} Ee^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_1 W_1 + \theta_2 W_2)} &= \exp\Bigg\{\mathrm{i}(\theta_1 b_1 + \theta_2 b_2) \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(e^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_1 x + \theta_2 y)} - 1 - (\mathrm{i}\theta_1 x + \mathrm{i}\theta_2 y) I\left\{x^2+y^2 \leq 1\right\}\right) F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{y}\right)\Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}y\right)\Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Remark 1. *In general, Theorem 2 is no longer valid if $E|X| = \infty$. For example, let X and Y be non-negative, non-degenerate random variables such that $X \in D(\beta_1)$ and $Y \in D(\beta_2)$, with $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < 1$. We have $EX = \infty$. From Lemma 1 below we can conclude that XY is in the domain of attraction of positive stable law of index β_1. In this example for sequences of norming constants $a_{n,i} = L_i(n) n^{1/\beta_i}$, $i = 1,2$, where $L_i(x)$, $i = 1,2$, are slowly varying functions at infinity,*

$$a_{n,1}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} W_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{n,2}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} W_2, \ \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where W_i are non-degenerate stable random variables of index β_i, $i = 1, 2$. Since $a_{n,1}/a_{n,2} \to \infty$, (12) cannot hold. It is clear in this example that the self-normalized sum $T_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} \infty$, which says that T_n is not stochastically bounded.

Remark 2. *Note that*

$$(W_1, W_2) \overset{\mathrm{D}}{=} (a_1 + U, a_2 + V), \tag{15}$$

where $(a_1, a_2) = (\alpha EX, \alpha)$ and

$$Ee^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_1 U + \theta_2 V)} = \exp\left\{\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(e^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_1 x + \theta_2 y)} - 1\right) F\left(\mathrm{d}x/y\right) \Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}y\right)\right\} =: \exp\left\{\phi\left(\theta_1, \theta_2\right)\right\}. \tag{16}$$

Furthermore under the assumptions of Theorem 2, we have that the convergence takes place in the Skorohod space $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^2)$, i.e.

$$\left\{\left(\frac{\sum_{1\le i\le n't} X_i Y_i}{a_{n'}}, \frac{\sum_{1\le i\le n't} Y_i}{a_{n'}}\right), t > 0\right\} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} \left\{(a_1 t + U_t, a_2 t + V_t), t > 0\right\},$$

as $n' \to \infty$, where (U_t, V_t), $t \ge 0$, is the bivariate Lévy process with characteristic function

$$Ee^{\mathrm{i}(\theta_1 U_t + \theta_2 V_t)} =: \exp\left\{t\phi\left(\theta_1, \theta_2\right)\right\}. \tag{17}$$

This immediately follows from Theorem 2 combined with Skorohod's theorem (Theorem 16.14 in [11]).

In a separate paper we shall characterize when under regularity conditions the ratio U_t/V_t converges in distribution to a non-degenerate random variable T as $t \to \infty$ or $t \searrow 0$.

Remark 3. *A result closely related to Theorem 2 is the fact that the Feller class $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under independent multiplication. It is established in Proposition 5 in the Appendix that if X and Y are independent random variables in the Feller class, then so is XY.*

Remark 4. *Suppose $E|X| < \infty$ and assume that along a subsequence $\{n'\}$ of $\{n\}$ for some sequence $c_{n'} \to \infty$,*

$$\frac{1}{c_{n'}} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 1, \text{ as } n' \to \infty. \tag{18}$$

By applying Theorem 2 we see then that

$$\frac{1}{c_{n'}} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} X_i Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} EX, \text{ as } n' \to \infty, \tag{19}$$

which in combination with (18) implies that

$$T_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} EX, \text{ as } n' \to \infty. \tag{20}$$

Notice that (18) holds for the entire sequence $\{n\}$ with $c_n = nEY$ when $EY < \infty$. It is also satisfied whenever along a subsequence $\{n'\}$ for some sequence $b_{n'} \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{a_{n'}} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n'} Y_i - b_{n'}\right\} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} W, \text{ as } n' \to \infty, \tag{21}$$

where W is non-degenerate and $b_{n'}/a_{n'} \to \infty$, as $n' \to \infty$. A random variable Y that is in the Feller class but not in the centered Feller class has this property. In this case (18) holds with $c_{n'} = b_{n'}$.

The following theorem, describes what happens when Y is in the centered Feller class.

Theorem 3. *Assume $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$, then for a suitable sequence of norming constants $a_n > 0$ any subsequence of $\{n\}$ contains a further subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{W_{1,n'}}{a_{n'}}, \frac{W_{2,n'}}{a_{n'}}\right) := \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n'} X_i Y_i}{a_{n'}}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n'} Y_i}{a_{n'}}\right), \tag{22}$$

converges in distribution to a non-degenerate random vector, say (W_1, W_2), having a C^∞ Lebesgue density f on $\mathbb{R}^2$, which implies that the asymptotic distribution of the corresponding ratio along the subsequence $\{n'\}$ satisfies

$$T_{n'} = \frac{W_{1,n'}}{W_{2,n'}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} \frac{W_1}{W_2} =: T \tag{23}$$

and has a Lebesgue density f_T on $\mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 1 below is a kind of a converse of this fact.

It is known (and easy calculation shows) that if $Y \in D(\beta)$, $\beta \in (0,1)$, then the non-negative constant α appearing in the representation of the stable limit law $\mathrm{id}(\alpha, \Lambda)$ is necessarily 0. (Breiman tacitly uses this fact in the course of his proof of Theorem 3 [1].) It turns out that this is true in a far more general setup.

Proposition 1. *Whenever $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$ and non-negative and $a_n > 0$ is as in (22), every subsequential limit law V of $a_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is of the form $\mathrm{id}(0, \Lambda)$, i.e. V has characteristic function*

$$Ee^{\mathrm{i}uV} = \exp\left\{\int_0^\infty \left(e^{\mathrm{i}uy} - 1\right) \Lambda(\mathrm{d}y)\right\},$$

with Λ being a Lévy measure concentrated on $(0,\infty)$ satisfying (4).

In order to state our next theorem we shall need the following notation. Let

$$Y_{n,n} = \max\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_n\} = Y_{m(n)},$$

where to be specific, $m(n)$ is the smallest $1 \le m \le n$ such that $Y_{n,n} = Y_{m(n)}$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ put

$$A_n(\varepsilon) = \left\{Y_{m(n)} / \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i > 1 - \varepsilon\right\}.$$

Set

$$\Delta_n = \left|T_n - X_{m(n)}\right|.$$

Theorem 4. *Assume that $E|X| < \infty$ and there exists a subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \liminf_{n' \to \infty} P\{A_{n'}(\varepsilon)\} =: \delta > 0, \tag{24}$$

then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \liminf_{n' \to \infty} P\{\Delta_{n'} \le \varepsilon\} \ge \delta > 0. \tag{25}$$

In Proposition 1 in [16] Mason proves that whenever Y is not in the Feller class, that is,

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{x^2P\{Y>x\}}{EY^2I(Y\le x)}=\infty, \tag{26}$$

and, in addition,

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{xE\left(YI(Y\le x)\right)}{x^2P\left\{Y>x\right\}+EY^2I(Y\le x)}<\infty \tag{27}$$

then there is a subsequence $\{n'\}$, such that (24) holds.
Condition (27) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2}}=O_P\left(1\right). \tag{28}$$

Consult Griffin [9] for more details.

Theorem 4 leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Assume* $E|X|<\infty$, *(24), and* $P\{X=x_0\}>0$ *for some* x_0*. Then there exists a subsequence* $\{n'\}$ *such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\liminf_{n'\to\infty}P\left\{T_{n'}\in(x_0-\varepsilon,x_0+\varepsilon)\right\}>0. \tag{29}$$

By the stochastic boundedness of T_n *this implies that there is a subsequence* $\{n'\}$ *such that*

$$T_{n'}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}}T,$$

where $P\{T=x_0\}>0$.

It is well-known (cf. Theorem 3.2 by Darling [4]) that if Y has a slowly varying upper tail, which by an application of Theorem 1.2.1 of de Haan [5] is seen to be equivalent to

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{x^2P\{Y>x\}}{EY^2I(Y\le x)}=\infty, \tag{30}$$

then (24) holds along the full sequence $\{n\}$ with $\delta=1$. In this case (27) holds since (30) implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}}1.$$

This leads immediately to Proposition 2 in [1]:

Corollary 2. *Assume that* $E|X|<\infty$ *and (30) holds. Then*

$$T_n\xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}}X. \tag{31}$$

Next in the case when Y does not satisfy condition (27) we have the following.

Theorem 5. *Assume that* $E|X|<\infty$ *and condition (27) does not hold, then there exists a subsequence* $\{n'\}$ *of* $\{n\}$ *and a random variable* T *such that*

$$T_{n'}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}}T,$$

where $P\left\{T=EX\right\}>0$.

Remark 5. *Condition (27) (equivalently (28)) does not hold when $EY < \infty$. To verify this, note that*

$$R_n = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/n \max\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_n\}/n}}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/n}.$$

Since $EY < \infty$ implies that $\max\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_n\}/n \to 0$, a.s., we conclude by the law of large numbers that $R_n \to 0$, a.s. In this case, it is trivial to see that $T_n \to EX$, a.s., as $n \to \infty$.

Finally, let us consider an illustrative case when $E|X|$ is not necessarily finite. We shall need the following lemma, which is a simple extension of Breiman's Proposition 3 [1]. Since the proof is nearly the same, we omit it.

Lemma 1. *Assume that $Y \in D(\beta)$ for some $\beta > 0$, and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $E|X|^{\beta+\varepsilon} < \infty$. Then*

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \frac{P\{XY > y\}}{1 - G(y)} = \int_0^\infty x^\beta F(\mathrm{d}x),$$
$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \frac{P\{XY < -y\}}{1 - G(y)} = \int_{-\infty}^0 (-x)^\beta F(\mathrm{d}x).$$

A more general result is given in Proposition II in Cline [3]. For recent results along this line consult Jessen and Mikosch [10] and Denisov and Zwart [6].

By substituting the use of Breiman's Proposition 3 in the proof of his Theorem 3 in [1] by the above Lemma 1, we obtain the following extension of his Theorem 3, which implies that his asymptotic distribution result for T_n holds in cases when $E|X| = \infty$.

Theorem 6. *Assume that $Y \in D(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $E|X|^{\beta+\varepsilon} < \infty$. Then $T_n \overset{\mathrm{D}}{\to} T$, where*

$$P\{T \leq x\} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi\beta} \arctan\left[\frac{\int |u - x|^\beta \mathrm{sgn}(x - u) F(\mathrm{d}u)}{\int |u - x|^\beta F(\mathrm{d}u)} \tan\frac{\pi\beta}{2}\right]. \qquad (32)$$

It is interesting that even in the latter case the tail behavior of the limit distribution is determined by the distribution of X. Note that

$$\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} \frac{\int |u - x|^\beta \mathrm{sgn}(x - u) F(\mathrm{d}u)}{\int |u - x|^\beta F(\mathrm{d}u)} = \pm 1.$$

Using that as $y \to 0$

$$\arctan\left((1 - y)\tan\frac{\pi\beta}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi\beta}{2} - y \tan\frac{\pi\beta}{2}\left(1 + \tan^2\frac{\pi\beta}{2}\right)^{-1} + O(y^2),$$

we obtain then that

$$P\{T > x\} \sim 2\int_x^\infty \left(\frac{u}{x} - 1\right)^\beta F(\mathrm{d}u) \frac{\tan\frac{\pi\beta}{2}}{\pi\beta\left(1 + \tan^2\frac{\pi\beta}{2}\right)}, \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

Without any further assumptions on F we have the simple bounds

$$\int_x^\infty \left(\frac{u}{x}-1\right)^\beta F(\mathrm{d}u) \geq \int_{2x}^\infty 1F(\mathrm{d}u) = 1-F(2x),$$

and

$$\int_x^\infty \left(\frac{u}{x}-1\right)^\beta F(\mathrm{d}u) \leq \int_x^\infty \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^\beta F(\mathrm{d}u) = 1-F(x)+\beta x^{-\beta}\int_x^\infty [1-F(u)]u^{\beta-1}\mathrm{d}u.$$

Moreover, assuming that $1-F$ is regularly varying with index $-\alpha$, with $\alpha > \beta$ it is easy to show that

$$\int_x^\infty \left(\frac{u}{x}-1\right)^\beta F(\mathrm{d}u) \sim (1-F(x))\beta\int_1^\infty y^{-\alpha}(y-1)^{\beta-1}\mathrm{d}y,$$

as $x \to \infty$, i.e.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{P\{T>x\}}{1-F(x)} = 2\beta\int_1^\infty y^{-\alpha}(y-1)^{\beta-1}\mathrm{d}y\frac{\tan\frac{\pi\beta}{2}}{\pi\beta\left(1+\tan^2\frac{\pi\beta}{2}\right)}.$$

Clearly analogous results are true for the negative tail.
The tail behavior that we just pointed out is in sharp contrast to the classical self-normalized sum setup, where it is shown by Giné, Götze and Mason (Theorem 2.5 in [7]) that if the ratio $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2}$ is stochastically bounded, then all the subsequential limits are subgaussian.

Summary picture To summarize, we have developed the following picture: Let X and Y be independent such that $0 < P\{Y>0\} \leq P\{Y \geq 0\} = 1$.

(i) If X is non-degenerate, $0 < E|X| < \infty$ and $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$ then T_n is stochastically bounded and every subsequential limit random variable T has a Lebesgue density.

(ii) If $E|X| < \infty$ and $Y \in \mathcal{F}$ but $Y \notin \mathcal{F}_c$ then there exists a subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that $T_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} EX$.

(iii) The last result is a special case of the fact that if $E|X| < \infty$ and along a subsequence $\{n'\}$ and some sequence $c_{n'} \to \infty$, we have $c_{n'}^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n'} Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 1$, as $n' \to \infty$, then $T_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} EX$.

(iv) If $E|X| < \infty$ and $Y \notin \mathcal{F}$ and (27) holds then there exists a subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that for some $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\liminf_{n'\to\infty} P\left\{\min_{1\leq i\leq n'}|T_{n'}-X_i| \leq \varepsilon\right\} \geq \delta.$$

Moreover, if Y has a slowly varying upper tail

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\liminf_{n\to\infty} P\left\{\min_{1\leq i\leq n}|T_n-X_i| \leq \varepsilon\right\} = 1.$$

(v) If $E|X| < \infty$, $Y \notin \mathcal{F}$, (27) holds and $P\{X = x_0\} > 0$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists a subsequence $\{n'\}$ and a random variable T such that $T_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} T$ and $P\{T = x_0\} > 0$.

(vi) If $E|X| < \infty$ and (27) does not hold then there exists a subsequence $\{n'\}$ and a random variable T such that $T_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} T$ and $P\{T = EX\} > 0$.

(vii) It can happen that $E|X| = \infty$ and $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$ and $T_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} \infty$.

(viii) On the other hand, it can also happen that $E|X| = \infty$ and $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$ and $T_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} T$, where T is non-degenerate.

2 Proofs

We shall need the following additional notation. Write for $v>0$

$$\overline{\Lambda}_n(v) = nP\{Y > a_n v\} = n\overline{G}(a_n v) \tag{33}$$

and for $u>0$ and $v>0$

$$\overline{\Pi}_n(u,v) = nP\{XY > a_n u, Y > a_n v\} = \int_v^\infty \overline{F}(u/s)\, nG(\mathrm{d}s a_n) \tag{34}$$

and

$$\Pi_n(-u,v) = nP\{XY \le -a_n u, Y > a_n v\} = \int_v^\infty F(-u/s)\, nG(\mathrm{d}s a_n). \tag{35}$$

The following lemma is well-known (see Corollary 15.16 of ([11])):

Lemma 2. *Let* $\{\xi_{n,j}\}_{j=1}^{m_n}$ *be an i.i.d. array in* $\mathbb{R}^d$. *Then* $\sum_{j=1}^{m_n}\xi_{n,j}$ *converges in distribution to an infinitely divisible* $\mathrm{id}(a,b,\nu)$ *random vector if and only if for some (any)* $h>0$ *with* $\nu(x:|x|=h)=0$ *we have, with* $\stackrel{v}{\to}$ *denoting vague convergence,*

(e.i) $m_n P\circ\xi_{n,1}^{-1} \stackrel{v}{\to} \nu$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$,

(e.ii) $m_n E[\xi_{n,1} I\{|\xi_{n,1}|\le h\}] \to b^h$,

(e.iii) $m_n E[\xi_{n,1}\xi_{n,1}' I\{|\xi_{n,1}|\le h\}] \to a^h$,

where a^h *and* b^h *are defined above (4).*

The following lemma determines the continuity points of the two-dimensional Lévy measure.

Lemma 3. *Any* $(u,v)\in[0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)$ *is a continuity point of* $\overline{\Pi}$ *only if* $F(u/s)$ *and* $\overline{\Lambda}(s)$ *as functions of* s *are not discontinuous at the same points in* (v,∞) *and* $\overline{F}(u/v-)\,\Lambda(\{v\})=0$; *and any* $(-u,v)\in(-\infty,0]\times(0,\infty)$ *is a continuity point of* Π *only if* $F(-u/s)$ *and* $\overline{\Lambda}(s)$ *as functions of* s *are not discontinuous at the same points in* (v,∞) *and* $\overline{F}(-u/v-)\,\Lambda(\{v\})=0$.

Proof. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\widetilde{u}\uparrow u,\widetilde{v}\uparrow v}\left(\overline{\Pi}(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v}) - \overline{\Pi}(u,v)\right) &= \lim_{\widetilde{u}\uparrow u,\widetilde{v}\uparrow v}\int_{\widetilde{v}}^{v}\overline{F}(\widetilde{u}/s)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s) + \lim_{\widetilde{u}\uparrow u}\int_v^\infty \left(F(u/s) - F(\widetilde{u}/s)\right)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s)\\ &= \overline{F}(u/v-)\,\Lambda(\{v\}) + \int_v^\infty \left(F(u/s) - F(u/s-)\right)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s),\end{aligned}$$

which is zero only if $F(u/s)$ and $\overline{\Lambda}(s)$ are not discontinuous at the same points in (v,∞) and $\overline{F}(u/v-)\,\Lambda(\{v\})=0$. The second part of the lemma is proved in the same way. □

Next we deal with the convergence of the Lévy measures.

Proposition 2. *Assume that at every continuity point* $v \in (0,\infty)$ *of* $\overline{\Lambda}$

$$\overline{\Lambda}_{n'}(v) \to \overline{\Lambda}(v), \text{ as } n' \to \infty, \tag{36}$$

and assume that for every (some) continuity point $h > 0$ *of* Λ

$$\int_0^h vn'G(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v) = \int_0^h v\Lambda_{n'}(\mathrm{d}v) \to \alpha_h, \text{ as } n' \to \infty, \tag{37}$$

holds where $\alpha_h < \infty$. *Then at every continuity point* $(u,v) \in [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)$ *of* $\overline{\Pi}$ *such that* $(u,v) \neq (0,0)$

$$\overline{\Pi}_{n'}(u,v) \to \overline{\Pi}(u,v), \text{ as } n' \to \infty, \tag{38}$$

and at every continuity point $(-u,v) \in (-\infty,0] \times [0,\infty)$ *of* Π *such that* $(u,v) \neq (0,0)$

$$\Pi_{n'}(-u,v) \to \Pi(-u,v), \text{ as } n' \to \infty. \tag{39}$$

Proof. First choose any continuity point $(u,v) \in [0,\infty) \times (0,\infty)$ of $\overline{\Pi}$ and let $\gamma > v$ be a continuity point of $\overline{\Lambda}$. By (36)

$$\limsup_{n'\to\infty} \int_\gamma^\infty \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda_{n'}(\mathrm{d}s) \le \overline{\Lambda}(\gamma). \tag{40}$$

By Lemma 3, $F(u/s)$ and $\overline{\Lambda}(s)$ are not discontinuous at the same points in $(v,\gamma]$, and since the set of discontinuities of $F(u/s)$ on $(v,\gamma]$ is countable and those have Λ measure zero, assumption (36) allows us to conclude that

$$\lim_{n'\to\infty} \int_v^\gamma \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda_{n'}(\mathrm{d}s) = \int_v^\gamma \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s), \tag{41}$$

(see the proof of Proposition 8.12 on page 163 of [2]). Since $\overline{\Lambda}(\gamma)$ can be made arbitrarily small by choosing γ arbitrarily large we readily infer (38) from (41) and (40).
To prove the convergence in (38) when $u > 0$ and $v = 0$ we shall need assumption (37). We have to show that for any continuity point $\gamma > 0$

$$\int_0^\gamma \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda_{n'}(\mathrm{d}s) \to \int_0^\gamma \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}s).$$

Using that the convergence (41) holds for any continuity points $0 < v < \gamma$ of $\overline{\Lambda}$ it is enough to prove the convergence

$$\limsup_{n'\to\infty} \int_0^v \overline{F}(u/s)\Lambda_{n'}(\mathrm{d}s) \to 0, \quad \text{as } v \to 0.$$

Since $s^{-1}\overline{F}(u/s) \to 0$, as $s \to 0$, (37) implies the statement keeping mind that $\alpha_h \searrow \alpha < \infty$ as $h \searrow 0$ for some finite $\alpha \ge 0$. Statement (39) is proved in the same way. ☐

Lemma 4. *Put*

$$\varphi(v) = \sqrt{h^2 - v^2}/v. \tag{42}$$

For any $v \in (0,h]$, $\left\{\left(\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, v\right)\right\}$ *has* Π *measure zero only if* v *is a continuity point of* $F(\varphi(v))$ *considered as a function on* $(0,h]$, *or* $\Lambda(\{v\}) = 0$; *and* $\left\{\left(-\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, v\right)\right\}$ *has* Π *measure zero only if* v *is a continuity point of* $F(-\varphi(v))$, *considered as a function on* $(0,h]$, *or* $\Lambda(\{v\}) = 0$.

Proof. Select any $0 < v < h$, then for all $v < \widetilde{v} < h$, we have

$$\frac{v\sqrt{h^2 - \widetilde{v}^2}}{\widetilde{v}} < \sqrt{h^2 - \widetilde{v}^2} < \sqrt{h^2 - v^2}$$

and by (9)

$$\Pi\left(\left(\frac{v\sqrt{h^2 - \widetilde{v}^2}}{\widetilde{v}}, \sqrt{h^2 - v^2}\right] \times \{v\}\right) = \left(F\left(\frac{\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}}{v}\right) - F\left(\frac{\sqrt{h^2 - \widetilde{v}^2}}{\widetilde{v}}\right)\right) \cdot \Lambda\left(\{v\}\right).$$

Now

$$\lim_{\widetilde{v} \searrow v} \Pi\left(\left(\frac{v\sqrt{h^2 - \widetilde{v}^2}}{\widetilde{v}}, \sqrt{h^2 - v^2}\right] \times \{v\}\right) = \Pi\left(\left\{\left(\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, v\right)\right\}\right)$$

and

$$\lim_{\widetilde{v} \searrow v} \left(F\left(\frac{\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}}{v}\right) - F\left(\frac{\sqrt{h^2 - \widetilde{v}^2}}{\widetilde{v}}\right)\right) \cdot \Lambda\left(\{v\}\right) = \left(F\left(\varphi\left(v\right)\right) - F\left(\varphi\left(v\right)-\right)\right) \cdot \Lambda\left(\{v\}\right),$$

where $\varphi(\cdot)$ is defined in (42). This says that

$$\Pi\left(\left\{\left(\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, v\right)\right\}\right) = \left(F\left(\varphi\left(v\right)\right) - F\left(\varphi\left(v\right)-\right)\right) \cdot \Lambda\left(\{v\}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\Pi\left(\left\{\left(-\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, v\right)\right\}\right) = \left(F\left(-\varphi\left(v\right)\right) - F\left(-\varphi\left(v\right)-\right)\right) \cdot \Lambda\left(\{v\}\right).$$

We also obtain that with $v = h$,

$$\Pi\left(\{(0, h)\}\right) = \left(F\left(0\right) - F\left(0-\right)\right) \cdot \Lambda\left(\{h\}\right),$$

and the proof is complete. □

Let

$$B_h = \left\{(u, v) : \sqrt{v^2 + u^2} \leq h, v > 0\right\}$$

and

$$C_h = \left\{\left(\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, v\right) : 0 < v \leq h\right\} \cup \left\{\left(-\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, v\right) : 0 < v \leq h\right\}.$$

Remark 6. *Lemma 4 says that when $\Pi\left(C_h\right) = 0$, then $F\left(\varphi\left(v\right)\right)$ and $\overline{\Lambda}\left(v\right)$ are not discontinuous at the same points in $(0, h]$; and $F\left(-\varphi\left(v\right)\right)$ and $\overline{\Lambda}\left(v\right)$ are not discontinuous at the same points in $(0, h)$.*

Lemma 5. *Suppose (36) is satisfied and for every continuity point $h > 0$ of $\overline{\Lambda}$, (37) holds where $\alpha_h < \infty$. Then*

$$\int_0^1 z\Lambda(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty. \tag{43}$$

Proof. Let $1 \geq h > \gamma > 0$, be continuity points of $\overline{\Lambda}$. By assumptions (36) and (37)

$$\alpha_h = \lim_{n' \to \infty} \int_0^h v n' G\left(\mathrm{d} a_{n'} v\right) \geq \lim_{n' \to \infty} \int_\gamma^h v n' G\left(\mathrm{d} a_{n'} v\right) = \int_\gamma^h z \Lambda(\mathrm{d} z) \geq 0,$$

which implies that

$$\infty > \alpha_h \geq \lim_{\gamma \searrow 0} \int_\gamma^h z \Lambda(\mathrm{d} z) = \int_0^h z \Lambda(\mathrm{d} z) \geq 0.$$

□

Remark 7. *Applying Lemma 2, we see that assumption (10) implies that (36) and (37) hold with*

$$\alpha_h = b - \int_h^1 z \Lambda(\mathrm{d} z) = b^h \text{ and } \alpha = b - \int_0^1 z \Lambda(\mathrm{d} z) \geq 0, \tag{44}$$

where

$$\alpha = \lim_{h \searrow 0} \alpha_h \geq 0, \tag{45}$$

in accordance with the notation in Theorem 2. This shows that (11) holds.

Notice that

$$\frac{n'}{a_{n'}} E\left(Y I\left\{\sqrt{(XY)^2 + Y^2} \leq a_{n'} h\right\}\right) = \int_{B_h} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{v}\right) n' v G\left(\mathrm{d} a_{n'} v\right)$$

and

$$\frac{n'}{a_{n'}} E\left(X Y I\left\{\sqrt{(XY)^2 + Y^2} \leq a_{n'} h\right\}\right) = \int_{B_h} u F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{v}\right) n' G\left(\mathrm{d} a_{n'} v\right).$$

Define the functions of $v \in (0, h]$

$$\phi(v) = \int_{\left[-\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, \sqrt{h^2 - v^2}\right]} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{v}\right) = F(\varphi(v)) - F(-\varphi(v) -)$$

and

$$\psi(v) = \int_{\left[-\sqrt{h^2 - v^2}, \sqrt{h^2 - v^2}\right]} u F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{v}\right),$$

where $\varphi(\cdot)$ is defined in (42). Observe that

$$\phi(v) \nearrow 1, \text{ as } v \searrow 0, \tag{46}$$

and

$$\psi(v)/v \to EX, \text{ as } v \searrow 0. \tag{47}$$

Now we can prove the convergence of the truncated expectations.

Proposition 3. *Assume (36), (37) and* $\Pi(C_h) = 0$. *Then*

$$\lim_{n' \to \infty} \int_{B_h} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{v}\right) n' v G\left(\mathrm{d} a_{n'} v\right) = \alpha + \int_0^h \phi(v) v \Lambda(\mathrm{d} v) \tag{48}$$

and

$$\lim_{n' \to \infty} \int_{B_h} u F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{v}\right) n' G\left(\mathrm{d} a_{n'} v\right) = \alpha E X + \int_0^h \psi(v) \Lambda(\mathrm{d} v). \tag{49}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\int_{B_h} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'vG\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = \int_0^h \phi\left(v\right) vn'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right)$$

and

$$\int_{B_h} uF\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = \int_0^h \psi\left(v\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right).$$

Choose any $0 < \gamma < h$ such that γ is a continuity point of $\overline{\Lambda}$. Notice that since $\Pi\left(C_h\right) = 0$ we can infer from Remark 6 that for any such γ the functions of v defined in $(\gamma, h]$ by $\phi\left(v\right) v$ and $\psi\left(v\right)$ do not share the same discontinuity points as $\overline{\Lambda}$. Thus since these functions are also bounded on $(\gamma, h]$, assumption (36) implies as in the argument that gives (41) that

$$\lim_{n'\to\infty} \int_\gamma^h \phi\left(v\right) vn'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = \int_\gamma^h \phi\left(v\right) v\Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}v\right) \tag{50}$$

and

$$\lim_{n'\to\infty} \int_\gamma^h \psi\left(v\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = \int_\gamma^h \psi\left(v\right) \Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}v\right). \tag{51}$$

Next, using the monotonicity of ϕ we see that

$$\left|\int_0^\gamma \phi\left(v\right) vn'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) - \alpha\right| \leq \left|1 - \phi\left(\gamma\right)\right| \int_0^\gamma vn'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) + \left|\alpha - \int_0^\gamma vn'\mathrm{d}G\left(a_{n'}v\right)\right|.$$

Therefore, by (37)

$$\limsup_{n'\to\infty} \left|\int_0^\gamma \phi\left(v\right) vn'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) - \alpha\right| \leq \left|1 - \phi\left(\gamma\right)\right| \alpha_\gamma + \left|\alpha - \alpha_\gamma\right|.$$

Similarly

$$\limsup_{n'\to\infty} \left|\int_0^\gamma \psi\left(v\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) - \alpha EX\right| \leq \sup_{0<v\leq\gamma} \left|EX - v^{-1}\psi\left(v\right)\right| \alpha_\gamma + \left|\alpha - \alpha_\gamma\right| \left|EX\right|.$$

As $\gamma \to 0$ the statements follow from the definition of α given in (45), (46) and (47). □

Observe that

$$\frac{n'}{a_{n'}^2} E\left(Y^2 I\left\{\sqrt{\left(XY\right)^2 + Y^2} \leq a_{n'}h\right\}\right) = \int_{B_h} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'v^2G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right)$$

and

$$\frac{n'}{a_{n'}^2} E\left(\left(XY\right)^2 I\left\{\sqrt{\left(XY\right)^2 + Y^2} \leq a_{n'}h\right\}\right) = \int_{B_h} u^2F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right).$$

Proposition 4. *Assume (36) and (37). Then for every* $h > 0$ *such that* $\Pi\left(C_h\right) = 0$,

$$\lim_{n'\to\infty} \int_{B_h} u^2F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = \int_{B_h} u^2\Pi\left(\mathrm{d}u, \mathrm{d}v\right), \tag{52}$$

$$\lim_{n'\to\infty}\int_{B_h} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'v^2 G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = \int_{B_h} v^2\Pi\left(\mathrm{d}u,\mathrm{d}v\right) \tag{53}$$

and

$$\lim_{n'\to\infty}\int_{B_h} uvF\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = \int_{B_h} uv\Pi\left(\mathrm{d}u,\mathrm{d}v\right). \tag{54}$$

Moreover

$$\lim_{h\searrow 0}\limsup_{n'\to\infty}\int_{B_h} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'v^2 G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = 0 \tag{55}$$

and

$$\lim_{h\searrow 0}\limsup_{n'\to\infty}\int_{B_h} u^2F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = 0. \tag{56}$$

Proof. In the proof of (55) and (56) we can assume without loss of generality that $\Pi\left(C_h\right) = 0$ for all $h > 0$ sufficiently small, since we only need it to be true for a countable number of $h \searrow 0$, and this holds trivially. We see that

$$\int_{B_h} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'v^2 G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) \le h\int_{B_h} F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'vG\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right)$$

and

$$\int_{B_h} u^2F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) \le h\int_{B_h} |u|\, F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right).$$

Statement (55) is a consequence of (48) and a slight modification of the argument giving (49) yields

$$\lim_{n'\to\infty}\int_{B_h} |u|\, F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right) n'G\left(\mathrm{d}a_{n'}v\right) = \alpha E\,|X| + \int_{B_h} |u|\, F\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{v}\right)\Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}v\right),$$

from which (56) follows.
The proof of the first three limit results now can be carried out the same way as in the previous proposition. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.
Proof of Theorem 2. We have to check the three conditions in Lemma 2 for the array

$$\left\{\left(X_iY_i/a_{n'}, Y_i/a_{n'}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n'}. \tag{57}$$

First of all, assumption (10) permits us to apply Lemma 2 to the array

$$\left\{Y_i/a_{n'}\right\}_{i=1}^{n'}, \tag{58}$$

to get that (e.i) and (e.ii) in the form (36) and (37) are satisfied for (58). Thus we can infer from Proposition 2 that (e.i) holds as given in (38) and (39) for (57). Next we apply Proposition 3 to see that (e.ii) holds for (57) in the form (48) and (49). In particular, notice that in Proposition 3 we can write

$$\alpha + \int_0^h \phi\left(v\right) v\Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}v\right) = \alpha + \int_{B_h} v\Pi\left(\mathrm{d}u,\mathrm{d}v\right)$$

and

$$\alpha EX + \int_0^h \psi\left(v\right)\Lambda\left(\mathrm{d}v\right) = \alpha EX + \int_{B_h} u\Pi\left(\mathrm{d}u,\mathrm{d}v\right).$$

Using that

$$\mathbf{b}^h = \mathbf{b} - \int_{h<|(u,v)|\le 1} (u,v)\Pi(\mathrm{d}u, \mathrm{d}v),$$

we get that $\mathbf{b}$ must have the form

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha EX + \int_{0<u^2+v^2\le 1} u\Pi(\mathrm{d}u, \mathrm{d}v) \\ \alpha + \int_{0<u^2+v^2\le 1} v\Pi(\mathrm{d}u, \mathrm{d}v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, Proposition 4 shows that the covariance matrix a has to be 0, so that (e.iii) holds for (57) with $a = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof will be derived from results in Griffin [8]. Note that since both X and Y are independent and non-degenerate, the random vector (XY, Y) is full, which in this case means that its distribution is not concentrated on a line. Since $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$ there exits a sequence of positive constants a_n such that for every subsequence of $\{n\}$ there is a further subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that $W_{2,n'}/a_{n'}$ converges in distribution to a non-degenerate random variable. Set

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_n} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, we can now apply Theorem 2 to conclude that for every subsequence of $\{n\}$ there is a further subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that

$$B_{n'} \begin{pmatrix} W_{1,n'} \\ W_{2,n'} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{59}$$

converges in distribution along $\{n'\}$ to a random vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{60}$$

which is non-degenerate and full. "Full" follows by an examination of the structure of the characteristic function of (W_1, W_2) given in (14). Thus we see that condition (C) of Griffin [8] holds. Next Theorem 4.5 of Griffin [8] says the conditions (A) and (C) of [8] are equivalent. Now since condition (A) of [8] is satisfied, we can use the proof of Griffin's Theorem 4.1 to show that there exist sequences of linear transformations $A_n : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and vectors $\delta_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$A_n \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} W_{1,n} \\ W_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} - \delta_n \right\}$$

is stochastically compact and all of its subsequential distributional limit random vectors, say,

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_1' \\ W_2' \end{pmatrix} \tag{61}$$

are non-degenerate and full. Moreover, Griffin proves that any such random vector (61) has a C^∞ density. This fact combined with an argument based on the convergence of types theorem implies that each subsequential limit random vector (60) has a C^∞ density, say $f(u,v)$. (See the convergence of types theorem given in Theorem 2.3.17 on page 35 in [19].) Thus since every subsequential limit (59) is full with density $f(u,v)$, the distributional limit T of the corresponding self-normalized sum (23) has density

$$f_T(t) = \int_0^\infty v f(tv, v)\,\mathrm{d}v.$$

□

Proof of Proposition 1. It can be inferred from classical theory (or from the proof of Theorem 2) that every subsequential limit law W of $a_n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ has the $\mathrm{id}(\alpha,\Lambda)$ distribution with characteristic function

$$Ee^{\mathrm{i}uW} = \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}u\alpha + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{\mathrm{i}ux} - 1\right)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}x)\right\},$$

where Λ satisfies (4), and $\alpha \geq 0$. Clearly $W \overset{\mathrm{D}}{=} \alpha + V$ and the Lévy process associated with W is $\alpha t + V_t$, $t \geq 0$, where

$$Ee^{\mathrm{i}uV_t} = \exp\left\{t\int_0^\infty \left(e^{\mathrm{i}uy} - 1\right)\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y)\right\}.$$

By an application of Corollary 1 of Maller and Mason [14] this implies that the process $\alpha t + V_t$, $t \geq 0$, is both in the *centered Feller class* at zero and at infinity. Using the notation of [14] and [15] we have

$$\nu(x) = \gamma_\alpha + \int_1^x y\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y) = \alpha + \int_0^x y\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y),$$

where $\gamma_\alpha = \alpha + \int_0^1 y\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y)$. We get by Theorem 2.3 in Maller and Mason [15] (equation (2.11)) that for some $C > 0$ for all $x > 0$ small enough

$$x\left(\alpha + \int_0^x y\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y)\right) \leq C\int_0^x y^2\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y),$$

and thus

$$\alpha + \int_0^x y\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y) \leq \frac{C}{x}\int_0^x y^2\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y) \leq C\int_0^x y\Lambda(\mathrm{d}y),$$

and the upper bound tends to 0, as $x \searrow 0$. Since $\alpha \geq 0$, this can only happen if $\alpha = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 4. Choose any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, then on the set $A_n(\varepsilon)$ for any $k > 1$, by the conditional version of Chebyshev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left\{\left|\frac{\sum_{i\neq m(n)} X_iY_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}\right| > \varepsilon\sqrt{k}E|X| \;\middle|\; A_n(\varepsilon)\right\} \\ &\leq E\left(\left|\frac{\sum_{i\neq m(n)} X_iY_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}\right| |A_n(\varepsilon)\right) / \left(\varepsilon\sqrt{k}E|X|\right) \leq k^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Let $\varepsilon = 1/k$ and set

$$B_{k,n} = \left\{\left|\frac{\sum_{i\neq m(n)} X_iY_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}\right| \leq k^{-1/2}E|X|\right\}.$$

We get by (62) that

$$P\left\{B_{k,n}|A_n\left(k^{-1}\right)\right\} \geq 1 - k^{-1/2}.$$

On the set $A_n\left(k^{-1}\right) \cap B_{k,n}$ we have

$$\Delta_n \leq \left|X_{m(n)}\right| k^{-1} + k^{-1/2} E|X|.$$

Now for any $0 < \eta < 1$ there exists a $K_\eta > 0$ such that $P\left\{|X_{m(n)}| \leq K_\eta\right\} \geq 1 - \eta$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left\{\Delta_n \leq K_\eta k^{-1} + k^{-1/2} E|X|\right\} \\ &\geq P\left\{\Delta_n \leq |X_{m(n)}| k^{-1} + k^{-1/2} E|X|, |X_{m(n)}| \leq K_\eta\right\} \\ &\geq P\left\{\Delta_n \leq |X_{m(n)}| k^{-1} + k^{-1/2} E|X|\right\} - P\left\{|X_{m(n)}| > K_\eta\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is

$$\geq P\left\{A_n(k^{-1}) \cap B_{k,n}\right\} - \eta = P\{A_n(k^{-1})\} P\left\{B_{k,n} | A_n(k^{-1})\right\} - \eta.$$

Therefore we have with $\varepsilon_k(\eta) := K_\eta k^{-1} + k^{-1/2} E|X|$,

$$P\left\{\Delta_n \leq \varepsilon_k(\eta)\right\} \geq P\{A_n(k^{-1})\}\left(1 - k^{-1/2}\right) - \eta.$$

Notice that for each fixed $\eta > 0$ and $\delta' < \delta$ for all large enough k and large enough n' along the subsequence $\{n'\}$ as in (24)

$$P\left\{A_{n'}(k^{-1})\right\}\left(1 - k^{-1/2}\right) - \eta \geq \delta' - \eta.$$

Clearly we can choose $\delta' < \delta$ sufficiently close to δ and $\eta > 0$ small enough so that $\delta' - \eta$ is as close to δ as desired: Since for each fixed $\eta > 0$, $\varepsilon_k(\eta) \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$, we see that statement (25) holds along the subsequence $\{n'\}$ as in (24). □

Proof of Theorem 5. First we introduce some notation. Set for any $C > 0$ and random variable Z, $Z^C = ZI\{|Z| \leq C\}$ and $\overline{Z}^C = Z - Z^C$. Define the random variables for $n \geq 1$

$$S_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - EX) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}, \; S_n^C = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i^C - EX^C\right) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}, \; \overline{S}_n^C = S_n - S_n^C,$$

$$N_n^C = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i^C - EX^C\right) Y_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2}} \text{ and } R_n = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}.$$

As we noted before by the results of Griffin [9] our assumption that (27) does not hold is equivalent to

$$R_n^{-1} \neq O_P(1), \tag{63}$$

so there exist a $\delta > 0$ and a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $n_k \to \infty$ and

$$\lim_{\eta \searrow 0} \liminf_{k \to \infty} P\left\{R_{n_k} \leq \eta\right\} = \delta. \tag{64}$$

Now for any $\eta > 0$, $C > 0$ and $K > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left\{|S_{n_k}| \leq \eta K \sqrt{Var(X^C)} + KE\left|\overline{X}^C\right|\right\} \\ &\geq P\left\{|S_{n_k}^C| \leq \eta K \sqrt{Var(X^C)}, \left|\overline{S}_{n_k}^C\right| \leq KE\left|\overline{X}^C\right|\right\} \\ &\geq P\left\{|S_{n_k}^C| \leq \eta K \sqrt{Var(X^C)}\right\} - P\left\{\left|\overline{S}_{n_k}^C\right| > KE\left|\overline{X}^C\right|\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by Markov's inequality

$$P\left\{\left|\overline{S}_{n_k}^C\right| > KE\left|\overline{X}^C\right|\right\} \leq E\left|\overline{X}^C - E\overline{X}^C\right| / \left(KE\left|\overline{X}^C\right|\right) \leq 2/K. \tag{65}$$

Write $S_{n_k}^C = N_{n_k}^C R_{n_k}$. Now

$$P\left\{|S_{n_k}^C| \leq \eta K\sqrt{Var\left(X^C\right)}\right\} \geq P\left\{R_{n_k} \leq \eta, |N_{n_k}^C| \leq K\sqrt{Var\left(X^C\right)}\right\}$$

$$\geq P\left\{R_{n_k} \leq \eta\right\} - P\left\{|N_{n_k}^C| > K\sqrt{Var\left(X^C\right)}\right\},$$

which by Chebyshev's inequality is

$$\geq P\left\{R_{n_k} \leq \eta\right\} - 1/K^2.$$

Thus for each $\eta > 0$, $C > 0$ and $K > 0$

$$P\left\{|S_{n_k}| \leq \eta K\sqrt{Var\left(X^C\right)} + KE\left|\overline{X}^C\right|\right\} \geq P\left\{R_{n_k} \leq \eta\right\} - 1/K^2 - 2/K.$$

Next note that for large enough K

$$1/K^2 + 2/K < \delta/4.$$

Also for any $\varepsilon > 0$, for all large enough $C > 0$

$$KE\left|\overline{X}^C\right| \leq \varepsilon/2$$

and given $C > 0$ and $K > 0$ for a small enough $\eta > 0$,

$$\eta K\sqrt{Var\left(X^C\right)} \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

This gives

$$P\left\{|S_{n_k}| \leq \varepsilon\right\} \geq P\left\{|S_{n_k}| \leq \eta K\sqrt{Var\left(X^C\right)} + KE\left|\overline{X}^C\right|\right\}$$

$$\geq P\left\{R_{n_k} \leq \eta\right\} - \delta/4.$$

Thus by (64) for all large enough k

$$P\left\{|S_{n_k}| \leq \varepsilon\right\} \geq \delta/4,$$

which since $\varepsilon > 0$ is independent of δ, implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \liminf_{k\to\infty} P\left\{|S_{n_k}| \leq \varepsilon\right\} \geq \delta/4. \tag{66}$$

To complete the proof, notice that

$$S_{n_k} = O_P\left(1\right),$$

which implies by tightness that there exists a subsequence $\{n'\}$ of $\{n_k\}$ and a random variable S

$$S_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} S,$$

which by (66) satisfies $P\{S=0\} \geq \delta/4$. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 3 implies that if $Y \in \mathcal{F}_c$ then every subsequential law of T_n has a Lebesgue density.

Now suppose that $Y \notin \mathcal{F}_c$. Applying a characterization of Maller [12] we know that Y is in the centered Feller class if and only if

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^2 P\{Y>x\} + xE\left(YI(Y\leq x)\right)}{EY^2 I(Y\leq x)} < \infty.$$

Thus if $Y \notin \mathcal{F}_c$

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^2 P\{Y>x\}}{EY^2 I(Y\leq x)} = \infty \text{ or } \limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{xE\left(YI(Y\leq x)\right)}{EY^2 I(Y\leq x)} = \infty.$$

Note that if $Y \notin \mathcal{F}_c$ and (27) does not hold we can apply Theorem 5 to show that for some subsequence $\{n'\}$, $T_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} T$, where $P\{T=EX\} > 0$. Next, if $Y \notin \mathcal{F}_c$ but (27) is satisfied then (26) must hold too. Thus by the fact that (26) and (27) imply that (24) holds, we can apply Corollary 1 to find an X and x_0 so that along a subsequence $\{n'\}$, $T_{n'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}} T$, where $P\{T=X=x_0\} > 0$. $\square$

3 Appendix

Proposition 5. *Let X and Y non-degenerate independent random variables. If X and Y are in the Feller class, then so is XY.*

Proof. Let denote F and G the distribution functions of $|X|$ and $|Y|$ respectively. Since $Y \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^2 P\{|Y|>x\}}{EY^2 I(|Y|\leq x)} < \infty, \tag{67}$$

which means that there is a $K>0$ and $x_0>0$, such that for all $x \geq x_0$

$$\frac{x^2 P\{|Y|>x\}}{EY^2 I(|Y|\leq x)} < K.$$

We show that (67) holds for XY. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} EX^2Y^2 I(|XY|\leq t) &= \iint_{xy\leq t} x^2y^2 F(\mathrm{d}x)G(\mathrm{d}y) \\ &= \int_0^\infty x^2 F(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^{t/x} y^2 G(\mathrm{d}y) \\ &\geq \int_0^{t/x_0} x^2 F(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^{t/x} y^2 G(\mathrm{d}y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \le t/x_0$, $t/x \ge x_0$, so we can use the estimate above to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ge \int_0^{t/x_0} x^2 \frac{1}{K} \frac{t^2}{x^2} P\{|Y| > t/x\} F(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&= \frac{t^2}{K} \int_0^{t/x_0} P\{|Y| > t/x\} F(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&= \frac{t^2}{K} P\{|XY| > t,\, |X| \le t/x_0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using that

$$\begin{aligned}
P\{|XY| > t,\, |X| \le t/x_0\} &= P\{|XY| > t\} - P\{|XY| > t,\, |X| > t/x_0\} \\
&\ge P\{|XY| > t\} - P\{|X| > t/x_0\},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$EX^2Y^2 I(|XY| \le t) \ge \frac{t^2}{K} \left(P\{|XY| > t\} - P\{|X| > t/x_0\} \right),$$

i.e.

$$\frac{t^2 P\{|XY| > t\}}{EX^2Y^2 I(|XY| \le t)} \le K + \frac{t^2 P\{|X| > t/x_0\}}{EX^2Y^2 I(|XY| \le t)},$$

so we only have to show that the lim sup of the last term is finite. In order to do this notice that

$$EX^2Y^2 I(|XY| \le t) \ge EX^2 I(|X| \le t/x_0) EY^2 I(|Y| \le x_0).$$

From this we have

$$\frac{t^2 P\{|X| > t/x_0\}}{EX^2Y^2 I(|XY| \le t)} \le \frac{x_0^2}{EY^2 I(|Y| \le x_0)} \frac{(t/x_0)^2 P\{|X| > t/x_0\}}{EX^2 I(|X| \le t/x_0)},$$

and the finiteness of the lim sup of the last factor is exactly the condition $X \in \mathcal{F}$. The proof is finished. ☐

References

[1] Breiman, L.: On some limit theorems similar to the arc-sin law. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen.* **10**, (1965), 351–360.

[2] Breiman, L.: *Probability.* Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1968.

[3] Cline, D. B. H.: Convolution tails, product tails and domains of attraction. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **72**, (1986), 529–557.

[4] Darling, D. A.: The influence of the maximum term in the addition of independent random variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73**, (1952), 95–107.

[5] de Haan, L.: *On regular variation and its application to the weak convergence of sample extremes.* Mathematical Centre Tracts, 32 Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam 1970, v+124 pp.

[6] Denisov, D. and Zwart, B.: On a theorem of Breiman and a class of random difference equations. *J. Appl. Probab.* **44**, (2007), 1031–1046.

[7] Giné, E., Götze, F. and Mason D. M.: When is the student t-statistic asymptotically standard normal? *Annals of Prob.* **25**, (1997), 1514–1531.

[8] Griffin, P. S.: Matrix Normalized Sums of Independent Identically Distributed Random Vectors. *Annals of Prob.* **14**, (1986), 224–246.

[9] Griffin, P. S.: Tightness of the Student t–statistic. *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **7**, (2002), 171–180.

[10] Jessen, A. H. and Mikosch, T.: Regularly varying functions. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **80(94)**, (2006), 171–192.

[11] Kallenberg, O.: *Foundations of Modern Probability.* Springer, 2002.

[12] Maller, R. A.: Relative stability, characteristic functions and stochastic compactness. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **28**, (1979), 499–509.

[13] Maller, R. A. and Mason, D. M.: Convergence in distribution of Lévy processes at small times with self-normalization. *Acta. Sci. Math.* (Szeged). **74**, (2008), 315–347.

[14] Maller, R. and Mason, D. M.: Stochastic compactness of Lévy processes, in: C. Houdré, V. Kolthchinskii, M. Peligrad, D.M. Mason (Eds.), Proceedings of High Dimensional Probability V, Luminy, France, 2008, I.M.S. Collections, High Dimensional Probability V: The Luminy Volume, Vol. 5 , Beachwood, Ohio, USA: Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 2009, pp. 239–257.

[15] Maller, R. and Mason, D. M.: Small-time compactness and convergence behavior of deterministically and self-normalised Lévy processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362, (2010), 2205–2248.

[16] Mason, D. M.: The Asymptotic Distribution of Self-Normalized Triangular Arrays. *Journal of Theoretical Probability* **18**, (2005), 853–870.

[17] Mason, D. M. and Newton, M. A.: A Rank Statistics Approach to the Consistency of a General Bootstrap. *Ann. Statist.* **20**, (1992), 1611–1624.

[18] Mason, D. M. and Zinn, J.: When does a randomly weighted self-normalized sum converge in distribution? *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **10**, (2005), 70–81.

[19] Meerschaert, M. M. and Scheffler, H. P.: *Limit distributions for sums of independent random vectors. Heavy tails in theory and practice.* Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2001.